arXiv:1403.5232v1 [math.NT] 20 Mar 2014

SOME SUPERCONGRUENCES OCCURRING IN TRUNCATED HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

LING LONG AND RAVI RAMAKRISHNA

Abstract. We use p-adic Gamma functions and formulae that evaluate and transform hypergeometric series to prove several supercongruences. These are congruences stronger than those predicted by the theory of commutative formal group laws. Some of our results settle conjectures of van Hamme and Kibelbek.

1. Introduction

Set $(a)_k = a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1) = \dfrac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)}$, the rising factorial or the Pochhammer symbol, where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function. For r a nonnegative integer and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with β_i, the (generalized) hypergeometric series ${}_{r+1}F_r$ defined by

$$ {}_{r+1}F_r\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha_1 & \dots & & \alpha_{r+1} & \\ & \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_r & \end{array};\lambda\right] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k(\alpha_2)_k\dots(\alpha_{r+1})_k}{(\beta_1)_k\dots(\beta_r)_k}\cdot\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} $$

converges for $|\lambda|<1$ if it is well-defined. When any of the α_i is a negative integer and none of the β_i's is a negative integer larger than α_i, the above sum terminates. We set

$$ {}_{r+1}F_r\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha_1 & \dots & & \alpha_{r+1} & \\ & \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_r & \end{array};\lambda\right]_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k(\alpha_2)_k\dots(\alpha_{r+1})_k}{(\beta_1)_k\dots(\beta_r)_k}\cdot\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} $$

the truncation of the series after the λ^n term. Thus, each truncated hypergeometric series is a rational function in α_i, β_j and λ if it is well-defined.

Hypergeometric series are of fundamental importance in many research areas including algebraic varieties, differential equations, Fuchsian groups and modular forms. For instance, periods of abelian varieties such as elliptic curves, certain K3 surfaces and other Calabi-Yau manifolds can be described by hypergeometric series ([5]). Indeed, the Euler integral representation of ${}_2F_1$ (Theorem 2.2.1 of [4])

$$ p_{\{a,b;c\}}(\lambda) := \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-\lambda t)^{-a}dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)}\cdot\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{ccc} a & & b \\ & c & \end{array};\lambda\right] \tag{1.1} $$

holds when $\Re c > \Re b > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash[1,\infty)$. When $a=b=\dfrac{1}{2}$ and $c=1$ the right side $p_{\{\frac12,\frac12;1\}}(\lambda)$ is a period of the Legendre family of elliptic curves $E_\lambda : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ parameterized by λ. A generalization of the Euler integral representation to ${}_{r+1}F_r$ is presented in [27, §4.1]. These periods are in general complicated transcendental numbers. They are much more predictable when the elliptic curve has complex multiplication (CM), e.g. $\lambda=-1$. The Selberg-Chowla formula predicts that any period of a CM elliptic curve is an algebraic multiple of a quotient of Gamma values [25]. For instance, using a formula of Kummer (see (6.10)) one can compute that

The first author is supported by the NSF grant (#DMS 1303292). This project was started when she was a Michler fellow at Cornell University 2012-2013. She would like to thank both the Association for Women in Mathematics and Cornell University for the opportunity. The second author thanks the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research for its hospitality while this paper was completed.

$p_{\{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2};1\}}(-1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$. For given λ, $\pi^2 \cdot {}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1 & 1\end{array};\lambda\right]$ is period of the $K3$ surface $X_\lambda : s^2 = xy(x-1)(y-1)(x-\lambda y)$ (see [27, §4.1]) and [3]. Note that in equation (1) of [3] λ bears a sign opposite to our description of X_λ.

This paper focuses on a p-adic analog of these complex periods computed from the hypergeometric series and Gamma function. We motivate our results using the following example. For any prime $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, the elliptic curve E_{-1} has ordinary reduction at p. From the theory of commutative formal group laws (CFGL) it is known that for any integer $r \geq 1$

$$(1.2)\qquad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1-p^r}{2} & \frac{1+p^r}{2}\\ & 1\end{array};-1\right] \Big/ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1-p^{r-1}}{2} & \frac{1+p^{r-1}}{2}\\ & 1\end{array};-1\right] \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \mod p^r$$

where $\Gamma_p(\cdot)$ stands for the p-adic Gamma function recalled in §2.1. This is closely related to Dwork's unit root for E_{-1} at ordinary primes in terms of truncated hypergeometric series [13] and the a_p-values of the modular form corresponding to E_{-1}. For more details see [16]. Here we are interested in the so-called supercongruences, congruences stronger than those predicted by CFGLs. For instance, using the arithmetic of elliptic curves and modular functions, Coster and van Hamme showed in [12] the congruence (1.2) holds $\mod p^{2r}$, which can also be recovered by our method. In this paper, we will show the following supercongruence result at $\lambda = 2$. The elliptic curve E_2 has CM and is isomorphic to E_{-1} over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1. *For any prime $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and any integer $r \geq 1$,*

$$(1.3)\qquad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1-p^r}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1\end{array};2\right] \Big/ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1-p^{r-1}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1\end{array};2\right] \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \mod p^{2r}.$$

Various supercongruences have been conjectured by many mathematicians including Beukers [7], van Hamme [30], Rodriguez-Villegas [23], Zudilin[32], Chan et al. [8], and many more by Z.-W. Sun [28, 29, et al.]. Often the statements relate truncated hypergeometric series to Hecke eigenforms and hence to Galois representations. Some of these conjectures are proved using a variety of methods, including Gaussian hypergeometric series [1, 2, 17, 21, 20, et al.], the Wilf-Zeilberger method [32] and p-adic analysis [6, 22]. For more information on recent development on supercongruences, please see [22]. Our technique relies on the relations between the classical and p-adic Gamma functions. See [14, §21] and [11]. Compared to [18] we provide a systematic way to carry out the p-adic analysis using the local analytic behavior of the p-adic Gamma function that handles the ordinary and supersingular cases equally well. Our method also explains the similarity between $p_{\{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2};1\}}(-1)$ and the right side of (1.2). It is particularly effective when there are formulae for computing the complex periods as quotients of Gamma functions. For instance, we are able to prove the following result

Theorem 2. *For $p \geq 5$ a prime the following congruence holds mod p^6:*

(1.4)

$${}_7F_6\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}\frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array};1\right]_{p-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{k!^6} \equiv \begin{cases} -p\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 6\\ -\frac{10}{27}p^4\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \mod 6.\end{cases}$$

This result is stronger than a prediction of van Hamme in [30] which asserts a mod p^4 congruence for $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$. Computations yield that the only prime between 5 and 500 satisfying the above congruence mod p^7 is 19.

It is known that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ the zeta-function of the $K3$ surface X_λ can be computed from a weight-3 Hecke eigenform f_λ if and only if λ is in $\left\{\pm 1, 4, \frac{1}{4}, -8, -\frac{1}{8}, 64, \frac{1}{64}\right\}$, see [26] and [3]. For λ

in this set and almost all primes p the following congruence holds

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1 \end{array}; \lambda\right]_{p-1} \equiv a_p(f_\lambda) \mod p^2$$

where $a_p(f_\lambda)$ is the pth coefficient of an explicit weight-3 Hecke eigenform f_λ which can be derived from [16]. For instance, $f_1 = \eta(4z)^6$ where $\eta(z)$ is the standard Dedekin eta function. We end the paper with a few supercongruences experimentally discovered by J. Kibelbek for ordinary primes and stated in [16]. For instance, we prove

Theorem 3. *The following congruence holds modulo p^3*

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1 \end{array}; 1\right]_{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} -\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4 \\ -\frac{p^2}{16}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding $\mod p^2$ congruence when $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ was first established by van Hamme [30]. We obtain a similar results for $-\frac{1}{8}$ at ordinary primes.

We briefly outline the paper. Section 2 includes the basic properties of p-adic Gamma functions we need, including Theorem 15 which we use repeatedly, and Lemma 18 which allows us to convert Γ-quotients to Γ_p-quotients which can be approximated by Theorem 15. We give a simple application of this in section 2.2. In section 3 we use the Kazandzidis supercongruence of binomial coefficients to prove Proposition 23, a relation between a p-adic logarithm and logarithmic derivatives of Γ_p that is used in the proof of Theorem 1. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2 and a corollary. In section 5 we prove Theorem 3 and another result of similar type. The appendix, section 6, includes the hypergeometric transformation and evaluation formulae we use and includes detailed statements of their domains of convergence. Many of the theorems here were motivated by computations carried out using the open source software `Sage`. We thank Heng Huat Chan for conversations which led to §2.2, Wadim Zudilin for inspiring discussions and the reference [27] and Jonas Kibelbek for his comments on an earlier version.

2. p-adic Gamma functions and immediate applications

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper $p \geq 5$ is a prime, $v_p(\cdot)$ denotes the p-order and $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$ the p-adic norm. We recall some basic properties of the Morita p-adic Gamma function $\Gamma_p(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. None of the results of this subsection are new, but we gather them here for our convenience and hopefully that of the reader. For details, see [19] and [10, §11.5].

Proposition/Definition 4. 1). $\Gamma_p(0) = 1$

2). $\dfrac{\Gamma_p(x+1)}{\Gamma_p(x)} = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } |x|_p = 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } |x|_p < 1. \end{cases}$

3). $\Gamma_p(x)\Gamma_p(1-x) = (-1)^{a_0(x)}$ *where* $a_0(x) \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p\}$ *such that* $x - a_0(x) \equiv 0 \mod p$.

4). $\Gamma_p\left(\dfrac{1}{2}\right)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$.

We use Theorem 15 repeatedly in this paper. Its proof involves essentially reproving:

Theorem 5 (Morita, Barsky)**.** *For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ the function $x \mapsto \Gamma_p(a+x)$ is locally analytic on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and converges for $v_p(x) \geq \dfrac{1}{p} + \dfrac{1}{p-1}$.*

Recall the p-adic logarithm

$$\log_p(1+x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}x^n}{n},$$

which converges for $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $|x|_p < 1$.

Set $G_k(a) = \Gamma_p^{(k)}(a)/\Gamma_p(a)$. In particular, $G_0(a) = 1$.

Corollary 6. *For a in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $G_1(a) = G_1(1-a)$, and $G_2(a) + G_2(1-a) = 2G_1^2(a)$.*

Proof. Take $\log_p$ of 3) of Proposition/Definition 4 and, on observing that $a_0(x)$ is constant in a small enough neighborhood of x, we differentiate this to obtain $G_1(x) = G_1(1-x)$. Rewriting this in terms of p-adic Gamma functions and differentiating again gives $G_2(x) - G_1^2(x) = -G_2(1-x) + G_1^2(1-x)$. The second part follows from the first part. □

Theorem 7. *[Robert-Zuber, [24]] Assume $p \geq 5$ is a prime. The function $\log_p \Gamma_p(x)$ is an odd analytic function on $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that*

$$\log_p \Gamma_p(x) = \lambda_0 x - \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_m}{2m(2m+1)} \cdot x^{2m+1} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $p\lambda_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ when $m \geq 2$.

Corollary 8. $G_2(0) = G_1^2(0)$.

Proof. Differentiate (2.1) twice, plug in $x = 0$ and use Corollary 6. □

Lemma 9. *The kth derivative of $\log \Gamma_p(x)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients in the $G_i(x)$ for $i \leq k$ and the sum of the subscripts in each monomial is k. The coefficient of $G_k(x)$ is 1.*

Proof. We induct on k, the case $k = 1$ being trivial. Suppose the statement is true for $k-1$, that is the $k-1$st derivative of $\log \Gamma_p(x)$ is of the form $\sum_l a_{r_l} \prod_{j=1}^{r_l} G_{i_j}(x)$ where $a_{r_l} \in \mathbb{Z}$, the subscripts in each monomial add to $k-1$ and the term $G_{k-1}(x)$ appears with coefficient 1. The derivative of $G_{k-1}(x)$ is

$$\frac{\Gamma_p^{(k)}(x)\Gamma_p(x) - \Gamma_p^{(k-1)}(x)\Gamma_p^{(1)}(x)}{\Gamma_p(x)^2} = G_k(x) - G_{k-1}(x)G_1(x).$$

Since no other term in the sum includes a $k-1$st derivative, this completes the induction for the coefficient of $G_k(x)$. Completing the rest of the induction involves writing the monomial $\prod_{j=1}^{r_l} G_{i_j}(x)$ as $\dfrac{\Gamma_p^{(i_1)}(x)\Gamma_p^{(i_2)}(x)\cdots\Gamma_p^{(i_{r_l})}(x)}{(\Gamma_p(x))^{r_l}}$ and employing the quotient and product rules. □

Lemma 10. $v_p\left(\dfrac{1}{k!}\right) \geq -\dfrac{k}{p-1}$.

Proof. $v_p(k!) = \displaystyle\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k}{p^r}\right] \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p^r} = \frac{k}{p-1}$. □

Lemma 11. *Let $p \geq 5$. Then $v_p(G_1(0)) \geq 0$. Also, $v_p(G_i(0)) \geq -\left[\dfrac{i}{p}\right]$ for $i > 1$. In particular, $v_p(G_i(0)) \geq 0$ for $i < p$ and $v_p(G_p(0)) = -1$.*

Proof. It may be useful to the reader to note here that $G_i(0)$ is related to the ith derivative of the expression for $\log_p \Gamma_p$ below, and is thus given in terms of $\lambda_{\frac{i-1}{2}}$. From Theorem 7 we know that

$$\log \Gamma_p(x) = \lambda_0 x - \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_m}{2m(2m+1)} \cdot x^{2m+1}$$

is analytic for $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Note $\lambda_0 = G_1(0) = \dfrac{\Gamma_p^{'}(0)}{\Gamma_p(0)} = \Gamma_p^{'}(0) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \dfrac{\Gamma_p(p^r)-1}{p^r}$. Now $\Gamma_p(p^r) = (-1)^{p^r}\prod_{k=1,(k,p)=1}^{p^r} k$ is by Wilson's theorem $(-1)^{p^r}(-1) \equiv 1 \bmod p^r$ so $v_p(\Gamma_p^{'}(0)) = v_p(G_1(0)) \geq 0$. (D. Thakur has pointed out to us that the only known cases where this valuation is positive are for $p = 5, 13, 563$ and in these cases $v_p(G_1(0)) = 1$.)

For $m \geq 1$ Lemma 1 of [24] gives $\lambda_m \equiv B_{2m} \bmod \mathbb{Z}_p$ where B_{2m} is the $2m$th Bernoulli number. Take $2m$ derivatives of the expression for $\log\Gamma_p(x)$, plug in $x = 0$ and use Lemma 9 to get that $G_{2m}(0)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients in the terms $G_k(0)$ for $k < 2m$. Similarly, $G_{2m+1}(0)$ is the difference between such a polynomial with $k < 2m+1$ and $\dfrac{(2m+1)!\lambda_m}{2m(2m+1)} = (2m-1)!\lambda_m$. The Clausen-von Staudt Theorem states that the denominator of B_{2m} is $\prod_{p-1|2m} p$. So $v_p(G_i(0)) = 0$ for $i < p$. The denominator of B_{p-1} is exactly divisible by p so $v_p(G_p(0)) = -1$. For $p < i < 2p$, $G_i(0)$ is the sum of a polynomial in the previous $G_j(0)$ (with $G_p(0)$ occurring to at most first degree in any monomial by Lemma 9) and $(i-2)!\lambda_{\frac{i-1}{2}}$ which is integral in p. The formula for $G_{2p}(0)$ contains the term $G_p^2(0)$ which has p-adic valuation -2, so $v_p(G_{2p}(0)) \geq -2$. Continuing in this fashion gives $v_p(G_i(0)) \geq -\left[\dfrac{i}{p}\right]$. □

We immediately see:

Corollary 12. *For all i, $v_p\left(\dfrac{G_i(0)}{i!}\right) \geq -i\left(\dfrac{1}{p}+\dfrac{1}{p-1}\right)$. For $i < p$, $v_p\left(\dfrac{G_i(0)}{i!}\right) = 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ satisfying $v_p(x) > \left(\dfrac{1}{p}+\dfrac{1}{p-1}\right)$, the Taylor series $\Gamma_p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dfrac{\Gamma_p^{(k)}(0)}{k!}x^k$ converges. Dividing by $\Gamma_p(0)$ this becomes $\dfrac{\Gamma_p(0+x)}{\Gamma_p(0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dfrac{G_k(0)}{k!}x^k$.*

We 'transfer' this result to arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$. The proof of the following Lemma is obvious.

Lemma 13. *For $p \geq 5$, $a \in \mathbb{Q}, x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, there exists a maximal $C_a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$\Gamma_p(a+x) = \pm(a+x)(a-1+x)\cdots(C_a+1-x)\Gamma_p(C_a+x)$$

where the $\pm$ sign depends only on a.

Proposition 14. *Let $p \geq 5$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $v_p(a) \geq 0$. Then $v_p\left(\dfrac{G_i(a)}{i!}\right) \geq -i\left(\dfrac{1}{p}+\dfrac{1}{p-1}\right)$. For $i < p$, $v_p\left(\dfrac{G_i(a)}{i!}\right) = 0$. We may extend the domain of $\Gamma_p(a+x)$ by setting $\Gamma_p(a+x) = \Gamma_p(a)\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\dfrac{G_k(a)}{k!}x^k$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $v_p(x) \geq \left(\dfrac{1}{p}+\dfrac{1}{p-1}\right)$. In particular,*

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1+x)}{\Gamma_p(a+x)} = \begin{cases} -(a+x) & |a+x|_p = 1 \\ -1 & |a+x|_p < 1 \end{cases}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\Gamma_p(a+x)\Gamma_p(1-a-x) = (-1)^{a_0(a)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. For $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, Lemma 13 gives $\Gamma_p(a+x) = \pm(a+x)(a-1+x)\cdots(C_a+1+x)\Gamma_p(C_a+x)$, so by Corollary 12

$$\Gamma_p(a+x) = \pm(a+x)(a-1+x)\cdots(C_a+1+x)\Gamma_p(0)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(0)}{k!}(C_a+x)^k. \tag{2.4}$$

Regrouping, the coefficient of x^i is an infinite sum. We'll show this sum converges to a number with valuation at least $-i\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)$. This gives local analyticity and a lower bound for $v_p\left(\frac{\Gamma_p(a)G_i(a)}{i!}\right)$. As Γ_p takes on only unit values on $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\Gamma_p(a)$ is a unit and we will be done.

The coefficient of x^s in $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(0)}{k!}(C_a+x)^k$ is $\sum_{k=s}^{\infty}\binom{k}{s}C_a^{k-s}\frac{G_k(0)}{k!}$. Since $C_a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $v_p\left(\frac{G_k(0)}{k!}\right) \geq -k\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)$, the kth term in $\sum_{k=s}^{\infty}\binom{k}{s}C_a^{k-s}\frac{G_k(0)}{k!}$ has p-adic valuation at least $(k-s)-k\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)$ so our sum converges to a p-adic number whose valuation is at least that of the lowest term in the sum. As s is fixed and k varies from s to ∞ the minimum occurs when $k=s$ and is $-s\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)$.

The coefficient of x^i in (2.4) is (up to sign) the sum for varying s of products of coefficients of x^{i-s} in $(a+x)(a-1+x)\cdots(C_a+1+x)$ and coefficients of x^s in $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(0)}{k!}(C_a+x)^k$. As $v_p(a) \geq 0$, the coefficients of $(a+x)(a-1+x)\cdots(C_a+x)$ have nonnegative valuation. We worked out lower bounds on the valuations of the coefficients of the x^s terms in the previous paragraph, and they decrease with s. So we can set $s=i$ to obtain our lower bound of the valuation of the coefficient of x^i, $-i\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)$, the desired result.

Now we verify (2.2) for $|a+x|_p = 1$. The other case is handled similarly. We have $|x|_p < 1$ and $|a|_p = 1$. For $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ consider the local analytic function

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+1+x)}{\Gamma_p(a+x)} = \frac{\Gamma_p(a+1)\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(a+1)}{k!}x^k}{\Gamma_p(a)\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(a)}{k!}x^k} = -a\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(a+1)}{k!}x^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{G_k(a)}{k!}x^k}.$$

The last denominator has constant term 1 and thus is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$, so we can think of the right side as a power series as well. It agrees with the local analytic function $-(a+x)$ for $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ so it must agree with $-(a+x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ where the series converge, namely when $v_p(x) \geq \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p-1}$.

Equation (2.3) holds for the same reason. □

Theorem 15. *For $p \geq 5$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, m \in \mathbb{C}_p$ satisfying $v_p(m) \geq 0$ and $t \in \{0,1,2\}$ we have*

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma_p(a)} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{t}\frac{G_k(a)}{k!}(mp^r)^k \mod p^{(t+1)r}.$$

Proof. When $t=1$ we need only show that all terms past the first degree term in the Taylor series have valuation at least $2r$. Since $p \geq 5$, Proposition 14 implies $\frac{G_k(a)}{k!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $k = 2,3,4$ so $v_p\left(\frac{G_k(a)}{k!}(mp^r)^k\right) = kr \geq 2r$. Thus there is no problem with the 2nd, 3rd and 4th terms. For

$k \geq 5$ we have $v_p\left(\frac{G_k(a)}{k!}(mp^r)^k\right) \geq kr - k\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p-1}\right)$. We need to check this is at least $2r$. A simple computation reduces this to the inequality

$$r \geq \left(\frac{k}{k-2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

For $k, p \geq 5$ the right side is less than 1 so the inequality holds for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $t = 2$, the 3rd and 4th terms are handled as above. For $k \geq 5$ the relevant inequality is

$$r \geq \left(\frac{k}{k-3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

The right side is less than 1 when $k \geq 5$ and $p \geq 7$. It is also less than 1 when $k \geq 6$ and $p = 5$. It remains to check the $k = p = 5$ case, namely that $v_p\left(\frac{G_5(a)}{5!}(m5^r)^5\right) \geq 3r$. Proposition 14 gives this valuation is $5r - 2$, which is at least $3r$ for all natural numbers r.

We leave the $t = 0$ case as an exercise. □

We will need some formulae for various Γ and Γ_p-quotients.

Definition 16. *Let $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $v_p(a) = 0$. Let i be the unique integer in $\{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ satisfying $a + i \equiv 0 \mod p$ and define $a' \in \mathbb{Q}$ by $a + i = pa'$.*

Lemma 17. 1) *For $a \in \left\{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{n}\right\}$ and $p \equiv 1 \mod n$, $a' = a$.*

2) *For $a \in \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\right\}$ and $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $a' = 1 - a$*

3) *If $v_p(a), v_p(1-a) \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ then $(1-a)' = 1 - a'$.*

Proof. 1) $\frac{k}{n} + k \cdot \frac{p-1}{n} = \frac{kp}{n}$.

2) $\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{3p-1}{4}\right) = \frac{3p}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) = \frac{p}{2}$, $\frac{3}{4} + \left(\frac{p-3}{4}\right) = \frac{p}{4}$.

3) We know $a + i = pa'$ where $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$. Checking $1 - a' = (1-a)'$ reduces to checking whether $p(1-a') - (1-a) = p - pa' - 1 + a = p - a - i - 1 + a = p - (i+1) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$. The only way this fails is if $i = p - 1$ in which case a is 1 mod p and then $v_p(1-a) > 0$, a case we are excluding. □

Lemma 18. *Let $a \in (0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.*

1) *If $v_p(a) = 0$ then $\forall m, r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\frac{\Gamma(a + mp^r)}{\Gamma(a + mp^{r-1})} = (-1)^m p^{mp^{r-1}} \frac{\Gamma_p(a + mp^r)}{\Gamma_p(a)} \frac{(a')_{mp^{r-1}}}{(a)_{mp^{r-1}}}.$$

2) *Suppose $a + mp^r \in \mathbb{N}$ $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$. (Here, $a, m \in \mathbb{Q}$ but need* **not** *be in $\mathbb{Z}$.) Then*

$$\frac{\Gamma(a + mp^r)}{\Gamma(a + mp^{r-1})} = (-1)^{a+mp^r} p^{a+mp^{r-1}-1} \Gamma_p(a + mp^r).$$

3) *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and suppose $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. If none of the numbers between a and b that differ from both by an integer are divisible by p then $\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} = (-1)^{a-b} \frac{\Gamma_p(a)}{\Gamma_p(b)}$. Equivalently, if $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that none of $a, a+1 \cdots, a+n-1$ is $p\mathbb{Z}_p$, then*

$$(a)_n = (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma_p(a+n)}{\Gamma_p(a)}.$$

Variant: If there are numbers x between a and b with $x-a, x-b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v_p(x) > 0$, then the right side must include these multiples.

4) *Suppose $m > 0$ and $(p-1)a, (p-1)m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the unique number satisfying $a \leq \beta < a+1$ and $a + mp^r \equiv \beta \mod \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $\beta < 1$. Then $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})} = (-1)^{a+mp^r-\beta} p^{a+mp^{r-1}-\beta} \frac{\Gamma_p(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma_p(\beta)}.$$

Proof. 1) As $a' + mp^{r-1} > 0$, $\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1}) \neq 0$ so

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})} = \frac{\Gamma(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1})} \frac{\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1})}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})}$$

which, by the fundamental property of the Γ-function equals

$$\frac{\Gamma(a) \cdot a \cdot (a+1) \cdots (a+mp^r-1)}{\Gamma(a') \cdot a' \cdot (a'+1) \cdots (a'+mp^{r-1}-1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1})}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})}.$$

Now $a+i$ is the first term in the numerator that is a multiple of p and this is pa'. Similarly $a+i+p = p(a'+1), \ldots, a+i+mp^r-p = p(a'+mp^{r-1}-1)$. The multiples of p in the numerator cancel exactly with the terms in the denominator leaving

$$\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(a')} \left(p^{mp^{r-1}} \tilde{\prod}_{j=0}^{mp^r-1} (a+j) \right) \frac{\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1})}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})} = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(a')} \left(p^{mp^{r-1}} \frac{\Gamma_p(a)}{\Gamma_p(a)} \tilde{\prod}_{j=0}^{mp^r-1} (a+j) \right) \frac{\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1})}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})}$$

where the products are over terms prime to p. By the definition of Γ_p this is

$$\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(a')} p^{mp^{r-1}} (-1)^{mp^r} \frac{\Gamma_p(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma_p(a)} \frac{\Gamma(a'+mp^{r-1})}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})} = (-1)^m p^{mp^{r-1}} \frac{\Gamma_p(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma_p(a)} \frac{(a')_{mp^{r-1}}}{(a)_{mp^{r-1}}},$$

where the last equality follows from using the definition of rising factorials twice.

2) This is like part 1), except here

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})} = \frac{(a+mp^r-1)!}{(a+mp^{r-1}-1)!}.$$

It is easy to see, using that $a \in (0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, that each multiple of p in the numerator is p times an element of the denominator. Canceling these, introducing 1 in the form $\frac{\Gamma_p(1)}{\Gamma_p(1)}$ and using the basic properties of Γ_p, including that $\Gamma_p(1) = -1$, gives the result.

3) This follows immediately from the definitions of Γ and Γ_p.

4) This is like parts 1) and 3). Observe

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+mp^r)}{\Gamma(a+mp^{r-1})} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta) \cdot \beta \cdot (\beta+1) \cdots (a+mp^r-1)}{\Gamma(\beta) \cdot \beta \cdot (\beta+1) \cdots (a+mp^{r-1}-1)}.$$

As $(p-1)m, (p-1)a, (a+mp^r-1) - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see $(p-1)\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $p\beta = \beta + (p-1)\beta$ appears in the numerator as do $p\beta+p, p\beta+2p$ etc. As $\beta < 1$, the difference $p\beta - \beta < p$ so none of the terms $\beta, \beta+1, \ldots, p\beta-1$ in the numerator are multiples of p. Now cancel out all terms in the denominator with the corresponding terms in the numerator, replace $\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)}$ with $\frac{\Gamma_p(\beta)}{\Gamma_p(\beta)}$ and use the recursion formula Γ_p, keeping track of (-1)s and powers of p. The result follows. □

2.2. **An immediate application.** Lemma 2.1 of [9] asserts that for each prime $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_p \equiv 3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_p \mod p^3. \tag{2.5}$$

We generalize this as follows.

Lemma 19. *Let $a \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ with $v_p(a) = 0$. For $p \geq 5$*

$$\frac{(a)_{p^r}}{(a')_{p^{r-1}}} \equiv \frac{(1-a)_{p^r}}{(1-a')_{p^{r-1}}} \mod p^{p^{r-1}+2r}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. By definition $(a)_{p^r} = a(a+1)\cdots(a+p^r-1)$. The set $\{a+i\}_{i=0}^{p^r-1}$ contains p^{r-1} multiples of p, which are $a'p, (a'+1)p, \cdots, (a'+p^{r-1}-1)p$ respectively, combining with $(a)_{p^r} = \dfrac{\Gamma(a+p^r)}{\Gamma(a)}$ we have $\frac{(a)_{p^r}}{(a')_{p^{r-1}}} = p^{p^{r-1}}(-1)^{p^r}\frac{\Gamma_p(a+p^r)}{\Gamma_p(a)}$. By Theorem 15, we have

$$\frac{(a)_{p^r}}{(a')_{p^{r-1}}} = p^{p^{r-1}}(-1)^{p^r}(1 + G_1(a)p^r + G_2(a)p^{2r}) \mod p^{p^{r-1}+2r}.$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{(1-a)_{p^r}}{(1-a')_{p^{r-1}}} = p^{p^{r-1}}(-1)^{p^r}(1 + G_1(1-a)p^r + G_2(1-a)p^{2r}) \mod p^{p^{r-1}+2r}.$$

The fact that $G_1(a) = G_1(1-a)$ gives the result. □

Corollary 20. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any prime $p \equiv 1 \mod n$,*

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)_p \equiv (n-1)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)_p \mod p^3. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Set $a = 1 - \dfrac{1}{n}$ in Lemma 19 and use 1) of Lemma 17. Setting $n = 4$ recovers the result of [9]. □

3. Kazandzidis supercongruences and the proof of Theorem 1

In 1968, Kazandzidis proved that for $0 \leq m \leq n$ and prime $p \geq 5$,

$$\binom{pn}{pm} \equiv \binom{n}{m} \mod p^3.$$

When $n = 2$ and $m = 1$, it is equivalent to the Wolstenholmes theorem. In [24], Robert and Zuber generalized this to

$$\binom{p^r n}{p^r m} \equiv \binom{p^{r-1} n}{p^{r-1} m} \mod p^{3r}.$$

In fact, they proved a stronger congruence, but the above is all we need, which can be derived from $G_1(0)^2 = G_2(0)$ and Theorem 15. The expression $\binom{p^r n}{p^r m}/\binom{p^{r-1} n}{p^{r-1} m}$ can be written in terms of p-adic Gamma functions.

We need some easy lemmas whose proofs we omit.

Lemma 21. *For $p \geq 5$, $v_p\left(\binom{2mp^r}{mp^r}\right) = 0$ for $m = 1, 2$, $r \geq 0$.*

Lemma 22. *Let $p \geq 5$ and $x \in p^r\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\log_p(1+x) \equiv x \mod p^{2r}$ and $e^x \equiv 1 + x \mod p^{2r}$.*

We also need the Legendre duplication formula,

$$\Gamma(2z) = \frac{2^{2z-1}\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \tag{3.1}$$

By the fundamental property of the Gamma function

$$\binom{2p^r}{p^r} / \binom{2p^{r-1}}{p^{r-1}} = \frac{\Gamma(1+2p^r)}{(\Gamma(1+p^r))^2} \frac{(\Gamma(1+p^{r-1}))^2}{\Gamma(1+2p^{r-1})}.$$

Taking $2z = 1+2p^r$ and $2z = 1+2p^{r-1}$ in (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{2p^r}{p^r} / \binom{2p^{r-1}}{p^{r-1}} &= \frac{2^{2p^r}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+p^r)\Gamma(1+p^r)}{\sqrt{\pi}(\Gamma(1+p^r))^2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(\Gamma(1+p^{r-1}))^2}{2^{2p^{r-1}}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+p^{r-1})\Gamma(1+p^{r-1})} \\ &= 2^{2(p^r-p^{r-1})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+p^r)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+p^{r-1})} \frac{\Gamma(1+p^{r-1})}{\Gamma(1+p^r)} = 4^{(p^r-p^{r-1})} \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}+p^r)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma_p(1)}{\Gamma_p(1+p^r)},\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from two applications of 1) of Lemma 18. The various powers of (-1) cancel out.

Theorem 15 implies that taking Taylor expansions of these Γ_p's and truncating mod p^{2r} gives congruences mod p^{2r}. Then taking $\log_p$ and truncating mod p^{2r} perpetuates these congruences by Lemma 22. Using Robert-Zuber and invoking Lemma 21 to divide yields

$$\begin{aligned}p^{r-1}\log_p 4^{p-1} + \log_p\left[1 + G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^r + G_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{(p^r)^2}{2} + \cdots\right] - \\ \log_p\left[1 + G_1(0)p^r + G_2(0)\frac{(p^r)^2}{2} + \cdots\right] \equiv 0 \mod p^{3r}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We see

$$p^r\frac{1}{p}\log_p 4^{p-1} + G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)p^r - G_1(0)p^r \equiv 0 \mod p^{2r}$$

holds $\forall r$. Letting $r \to \infty$ gives

$$\frac{1}{p}\log_p 4^{p-1} + G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - G_1(0) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The equation below is derived similarly from the the Kazandzidis supercongruence. We go through two iterations of Legendre's duplication formula (3.1) and four applications of 1) of Lemma 18 to get

$$1 \equiv \binom{4p^r}{2p^r} / \binom{4p^{r-1}}{2p^{r-1}} = 2^{4(p^r-p^{r-1})} \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}+p^r\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{4}+p^r\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma_p(1)}{\Gamma_p(1+p^r)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}+p^r\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} \mod p^{2r}.$$

Note that $\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = (-1)^{a_0(1/4)}$, $\Gamma_p(1) = -1$ and $\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is some 4th root of unity. Thus $\log_p$ of the constant part of the expression above is 0. Taking $\log_p$ and using Theorem 15 to reduce mod p^{2r} we see

$$\frac{2}{p}\log_p(4^{p-1}) + G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + G_1\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) - G_1(1) - G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Subtracting (3.3) from (3.4) and using Corollary 6 gives

Proposition 23. $\frac{1}{p}\log_p 4^{p-1} = 2G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - 2G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$. *Dividing by 2 and multiplying by* $\frac{p^r}{4}$ *and exponentiating, this becomes*

$$4^{\frac{p^r-p^{r-1}}{4}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\left[1 + \left(G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)\frac{p^r}{2}\right] \mod p^{2r}.$$

The sign $(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$ *is due to the Legendre symbol* $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$.

Multiplying the congruences $\binom{2p}{p}/\binom{2}{1}\equiv 1 \mod p^3$ and $\binom{4p}{2p}/\binom{4}{2}\equiv 1 \mod p^3$ we have

$$64^{p-1}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}+p\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{4}+p\right)}{\Gamma_p(1+p)^2\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})}\equiv 1 \mod p^3.$$

Thus

$$64^{(p-1)}\equiv 1+\left(2G_1(0)-2G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)p+2\left(G_1(0)-G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)^2p^2 \mod p^3$$

It follows again from $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$ that

$$2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\equiv(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\left[1+\frac{1}{6}\left(G_1(0)-G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)p+\frac{1}{72}\left(G_1(0)-G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)^2p^2\right] \mod p^3. \tag{3.5}$$

We are ready to prove Theorem 1. We will need the following Pfaff transform (see [4, eq. (2.3.14), pp. 79])

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-n & b\\ c\end{matrix};x\right]=\frac{(c-b)_n}{(c)_n}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-n & b\\ b+1-n-c\end{matrix};1-x\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

as well as *Kummer's evaluation formula*, see (2.11) of [31]

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ 1+a-b\end{matrix};-1\right]=\frac{\Gamma(1+a-b)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{a}{2}-b\right)\Gamma(1+a)} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi}$ and Euler's reflection formula

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}. \tag{3.8}$$

We let $x=2$ and $n=\dfrac{p^r-1}{2}$, $b=\dfrac{1}{2}, c=1$ in (6.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p^r}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1\end{matrix};2\right] &\overset{\text{(by (3.6))}}{=} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}}{(1)_{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p^r}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1-\frac{p^r}{2}\end{matrix};-1\right] \overset{\text{(by (3.7))}}{=} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}}{(1)_{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}}\cdot\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5-p^r}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{2}\right)}\\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p^r}{2}\right)/\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)/\Gamma(1)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5-p^r}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{2}\right)} \quad \text{(definition of rising factorials)}\\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\cdot\frac{2^{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{p^r}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{4}\right)^2} \quad \text{(set } z=(3-p^r)/4 \text{ in (3.1))}\\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p^r}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)}\cdot\frac{2^{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{p^r}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{4}\right)^2} \overset{\text{(by (3.8))}}{=} \frac{2^{\frac{p^r-1}{2}}\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{4}\right)^2}\quad .
\end{aligned}$$

As $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$, $\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2=-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p^r}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1\end{matrix};2\right]}{{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p^{r-1}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1\end{matrix};2\right]} &= 4^{\frac{p^r-p^{r-1}}{4}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^{r-1}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^{r-1}}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p^r}{4}\right)^2} \overset{\text{(by (3.8))}}{=} 4^{\frac{p^r-p^{r-1}}{4}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^{r-1}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p^{r-1}}{4}\right)^2}\\
&= 4^{\frac{p^r-p^{r-1}}{4}}\frac{\left[\frac{(-1)^{\frac{1+p^r}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}p^{\frac{1+p^{r-1}}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p^r}{4}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right]^2}{(-1)^{\frac{1+p^r}{2}}p^{\frac{1+p^{r-1}}{2}-1}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)} \quad (\text{ Lemma 18})\\
&= -4^{\frac{p^r-p^{r-1}}{4}}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p^r}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)}\\
&= 4^{\frac{p^r-p^{r-1}}{4}}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p^r}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p^r}{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

To continue, we apply Proposition 23 to the last term to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p^r}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1\end{matrix};2\right]}{{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p^{r-1}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1\end{matrix};2\right]} &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\left[1+\left(G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)\frac{p^r}{2}\right]\\
&\quad\cdot\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\frac{\left[1+G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\frac{p^r}{4}\right]^2}{\left[1+G_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{p^r}{2}\right]} \mod p^{2r}\\
&\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \mod p^{2r}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Proof of a strengthened conjecture of van Hamme and a Corollary

We now prove Theorem 2. Let ζ be any primitive 5th root of unity. For $p\equiv 1 \mod 6$, we have $\frac{2}{6}+2\cdot\frac{p-1}{6}=p\cdot\frac{2}{6}$ so $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)'=\frac{1}{3}$. For $p\equiv 5 \mod 6$ we have $\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2p-1}{3}=p\cdot\frac{2}{3}$ so $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)'=\frac{2}{3}$ in this case. For convenience we write $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)'=\frac{t}{3}$ where $t=1$ or 2 as appropriate.

We are first going to show

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1)\quad &{}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1-\zeta tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^2 tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^4 tp}{3} & \frac{1-tp}{3}\\ \frac{1}{6} & 1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^2 tp}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^3 tp}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^4 tp}{3} & 1+\frac{tp}{3} & \end{matrix};1\right]\\
&\equiv {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{tp-1}{3}} \equiv {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{p-1} \mod p^6.
\end{aligned}$$

The last congruence holds as $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k\equiv 0 \mod p$ and $(1)_k\not\equiv 0 \mod p$ when $\frac{tp-1}{3}<k<p$.

Consider a 'deformed' terminating series

$$ {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta x & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta^2 x & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta^3 x & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta^4 x & \frac{1-tp}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1+\zeta x & 1+\zeta x^2 & 1+\zeta^3 x & 1+\zeta^4 x & 1+\frac{tp}{3} \end{matrix};1\right]. $$

As a rational function of x, its coefficient ring is $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. However, it is independent of the choice of the primitive 5th root, and hence the coefficient ring is $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 24. $\dfrac{\left(\frac{1}{3}-x\right)_k}{(1+x)_k} = \dfrac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k}{(1)_k}[1+a_{k,1}x+a_{k,2}x^2+\cdots] \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ *for* $k \le \dfrac{tp-1}{3}$.

Proof. From

$$ \left(\frac{1}{3}-x\right)_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}+j-x\right) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}+j\right)\left(1-\frac{x}{\left(\frac{1}{3}+j\right)}\right) \tag{4.2} $$

$$ = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k\left[1-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{3x}{1+3j}x+\sum_{0\le i<j\le k-1}\frac{9x^2}{(1+3i)(1+3j)}+\cdots\right] $$

we see that for k in the specified range that none of the denominators in (4.2) are multiples of p. It is trivial to see that for these k the constant term of the polynomial $(1+x)_k$ is **not** divisible by p. Thus its reciprocal, when viewed as a power series, has p-integral coefficients.

The last claim follows from Definition 16. □

Lemma 25. *The congruence below of terminating power series in* x, y, z, w *holds.*

$$ {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3}-x & \frac{1}{3}-y & \frac{1}{3}-z & \frac{1}{3}-w & \frac{1-tp}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1+x & 1+y & 1+z & 1+w & 1+\frac{tp}{3} \end{matrix};1\right] \tag{4.3} $$

$$ \equiv {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3}-x & \frac{1}{3}-y & \frac{1}{3}-z & \frac{1}{3}-w & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1+x & 1+y & 1+z & 1+w & 1 \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{tp-1}{3}} \mod p $$

$$ = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(1)_k^6}\times\left[1+\sum_{i\ge1}a_{k,i}x^i\right]\left[1+\sum_{i\ge1}a_{k,i}y^i\right]\left[1+\sum_{i\ge1}a_{k,i}z^i\right]\left[1+\sum_{i\ge1}a_{k,i}w^i\right]. $$

Furthermore, the former series is in $p\mathbb{Z}_p[[x,y,z,w]]$.

Proof. The equality follows immediately from Lemma 24 and the congruence is obvious.

To deal with the last claim, we need Whipple's well-posed ${}_7F_6$ evaluation formula ([4, Theorem 3.4.5]) below

$$ {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix} a & 1+\frac{a}{2} & b & c & d & e & f \\ \frac{1}{2}a & 1+a-b & 1+a-c & 1+a-d & 1+a-e & 1+a-f & \end{matrix};1\right] = \tag{4.4} $$

$$ \frac{\Gamma(1+a-d)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)\Gamma(1+a-d-e-f)}{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+a-e-f)\Gamma(1+a-d-e)\Gamma(1+a-d-f)} $$

$$ \times\ {}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix} 1+a-b-c & d & e & f \\ d+e+f-a & 1+a-b & 1+a-c & \end{matrix};1\right] $$

This holds when the left side converges and the right side terminates. The Γ-quotients are all rising factorials of the form $(*)_{-f}$.

We apply (4.4) to ${}_7F_6\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3}-x & \frac{1}{3}-y & \frac{1}{3}-z & \frac{1}{3}-w & \frac{1-tp}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1+x & 1+y & 1+z & 1+w & 1+\frac{tp}{3} \end{array};1\right]$ to get

$$\frac{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{2}{3}+z+w\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}}{(1+z)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(1+w)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}}\,{}_4F_3\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{2}{3}+x+y & \frac{1}{3}-z & \frac{1}{3}-w & \frac{1-tp}{3} & \\ & \frac{2-tp}{3}-z-w & 1+x & 1+y & \end{array};1\right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Note $\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}} = \frac{tp}{3}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-4}{3}}$. The rising factorials in the denominator of (4.5) have constant terms that are units in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ as do all terms in the denominators of the terminating ${}_4F_3$ series. □

Consider the coefficient of x^5 in (4.3). It is just $\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(1)_k^6}a_{k,5}$ which by (4.3), is in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Similarly, the coefficients of x^4y etc. are in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$. We conclude that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(1)_k^6}a_{k,4}a_{k,1},\ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(1)_k^6}a_{k,3}a_{k,2}$$

etc. are all in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Letting $x=\zeta u$, $y=\zeta^2 u$, $z=\zeta^3 u$ and $w=\zeta^4 u$ above, set

$$F(u) := {}_7F_6\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta u & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta^2 u & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta^3 u & \frac{1}{3}-\zeta^4 u & \frac{1}{3}-u \\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1+\zeta u & 1+\zeta u^2 & 1+\zeta^3 u & 1+\zeta^4 u & 1+u \end{array};1\right]_{\frac{tp-1}{3}} = C_0+\sum_{i>0}C_{5i}u^{5i}\in\mathbb{Z}_p[[u^5]]$$

by the symmetry with respect to all 5th roots of unity. If $C_5\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, (4.1) will follow by setting $u=0$ and $\frac{tp}{3}$ respectively. The series expansion of $F(u)$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(1)_k^6}\times\prod_{j=0}^{4}[1+\sum_{i\geq 1}a_{k,i}(\zeta^j u)^i].$$

Thus $C_5=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(1)_k^6}[G(a_{k,i})]$ where $G(a_{k,i})$ in an integral polynomial in the $a_{k,i}$ where the second subscripts in each monomial add to 5. By the argument of the previous paragraph $C_5\in p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

To prove Theorem 2 it remains to show the left side of (4.1) is congruent to the right side in Theorem 2 mod p^6. We use Dougall's formula (c.f. Theorem 3.5.1 of [4] or (8.2) of [31]) which asserts that for f a negative integer and $1+2a=b+c+d+e+f$ that

$${}_7F_6\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} & a & 1+\frac{a}{2} & b & c & d & e & f \\ \frac{a}{2} & 1+a-b & 1+a-c & 1+a-d & 1+a-e & 1+a-f & & \end{array};1\right] = \frac{(a+1)_{-f}(a-b-c+1)_{-f}(a-b-d+1)_{-f}(a-c-d+1)_{-f}}{(a-b+1)_{-f}(a-c+1)_{-f}(a-d+1)_{-f}(a-b-c-d+1)_{-f}} \tag{4.6}$$

Set $a=\frac{1}{3}$, $b=\frac{1-\zeta tp}{3}$, $c=\frac{1-\zeta^2 tp}{3}$, $d=\frac{1-\zeta^3 tp}{3}$, $e=\frac{1-\zeta^4 tp}{3}$ and $f=\frac{1-tp}{3}$. As ζ is a primitive 5th root of unity, it satisfies $1+\zeta+\cdots+\zeta^4=0$ and hence $1+2a=b+c+d+e+f$ so

Dougall's formula applies. We get

$$(4.7)\quad {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1-\zeta tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^2 tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^3 tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^4 tp}{3} & \frac{1-tp}{3}\\ \frac{1}{6} & 1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^2 tp}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^3 tp}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^4 tp}{3} & 1+\frac{tp}{3} & \end{matrix};1\right]$$
$$=\frac{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{2+\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{2+\zeta tp+\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{2+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}}{\left(1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(1+\frac{\zeta^2 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(1+\frac{\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}}$$

Regardless of whether $t=1$ or 2, none of the rising factorials in the denominator contain a multiple of p. Also, when we switch to Γ_p-quotients the power of -1 introduced is $(-1)^{8\frac{tp-1}{3}}=1$ and observe

$$\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{tp+3}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}=\frac{\frac{tp}{3}}{\frac{1}{3}}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}=(-1)^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}tp\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}.$$

When $t=1$, that is $p\equiv 1 \mod 6$, the remaining rising factorials in the numerator contain no multiples of p and, when viewed as Γ-quotients can be replaced directly by Γ_p-quotients.

When $t=2$, that is $p\equiv 5 \mod 6$, the remaining rising factorials in the numerator each contain exactly one multiple of p, namely $\frac{2+*p}{3}+\frac{p-2}{3}$. In this case, when replacing the rising factorials by Γ_p-quotients we have to include the factor

$$\frac{p}{3}(1+2\zeta+2\zeta^2)\frac{p}{3}(1+2\zeta+2\zeta^3)\frac{p}{3}(1+2\zeta^2+2\zeta^3)=\frac{5p^3}{27}.$$

Set $A_1=1$ and $A_2=\frac{5p^3}{27}$. Then (4.7) becomes

$$(4.8)\quad tpA_t\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp+tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp}{3}\right)}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^3 tp+tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta tp+\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp+tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)}$$
$$\times\frac{\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta tp+tp}{3}\right)}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^2 tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta^2 tp+tp}{3}\right)}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta^3 tp+tp}{3}\right)}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp+tp}{3}\right)}$$

As $1+\zeta+\zeta^2+\zeta^3+\zeta^4=0$, we have

$$\Gamma_p\left(\frac{\zeta tp+\zeta^2 tp+\zeta^3 tp+tp}{3}\right)=\Gamma_p\left(-\frac{\zeta^4 tp}{3}\right)=(-1)^{a_0(0)}\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^4 tp}{3}\right)^{-1}$$

by the functional equation (see Proposition 14). We apply the functional equation to the rest of the denominator terms to get (grouping the $\Gamma_p(1+*)$ terms at the end)

(4.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{p+6a_0(\frac{2}{3})}tpA_t\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^2tp+tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^2tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^3tp+tp}{3}\right)\\
&\times\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta^2tp+\zeta^3tp+pt}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-tp}{3}\right)\\
&\times\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^3tp-tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+\zeta tp+\zeta^2tp+\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^2tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\\
&=(-1)^{p+6a_0(\frac{2}{3})}tpA_t\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^3tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^2tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\\
&\times\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-tp}{3}\right)\\
&\times\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^3tp-tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^2tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $p+6a_0\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ is odd and $\Gamma_p\left(\frac{tp}{3}\right)=-\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{tp}{3}\right)$. Place this with the four terms at the end. We have symmetry with respect to the 5th roots of unity so

$$\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^2tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(1+\frac{tp}{3}\right)$$

has Taylor series expansion $\Gamma_p(1)^5[1+O(p^5)]=-1+O(p^5)$. The overall expression (4.7) has the symmetry with respect to 5th roots of unity as does the remaining part,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10)\quad &(-1)^{1+1}\frac{tpA_t}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^3tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^2tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\\
&\times\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-\zeta^3tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta tp-tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^2tp-tp}{3}\right)\\
&\times\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta^3tp-tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-tp-\zeta^4tp}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus above product has Taylor series expansion $tpA_t\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9[1+O(p^5)]$ as well. Multiplying by $-1+O(p^5)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{3}}(6k+1)\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{(k!)^6} &= {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ & \frac{1}{6} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{p-1}{3}}\\
&\equiv {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1-\zeta p}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^2p}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^3p}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta^4p}{3} & \frac{1-p}{3}\\ \frac{1}{6} & 1+\frac{\zeta p}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^2p}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^3p}{3} & 1+\frac{\zeta^4p}{3} & 1+\frac{p}{3} & \end{matrix};1\right] \mod p^6\\
&\equiv -tpA_t\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 \mod p^6.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

The next result is obtained by the same method as the previous proof. It uses a formula due to Pfaff-Saalschütz which plays a role in proving (4.6) from (4.4).

Corollary 26. *For any prime $p > 3$,*

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^6 & \mod p^3 \quad (\text{if } p\equiv 1 \mod 6)\\ -\frac{p^2}{3}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^6 & \mod p^3 \quad (\text{if } p\equiv 5 \mod 6)\end{cases}. $$

Proof. The Pfaff-Saalschütz Theorem (Theorem 2.2.6 of [4]) says for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}-n & a & b\\ c & 1+a+b-c-n & \end{matrix};1\right] = \frac{(c-a)_n(c-b)_n}{(c)_n(c-a-b)_n}. \tag{4.11} $$

As in the proof of Theorem 2, set $t = 1$ or 2 as $p \equiv 1$ or 5 $\mod 6$. Letting $n = \dfrac{tp-1}{3}, a = \dfrac{1-\zeta_3 tp}{3}$ and $b = \dfrac{1-\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}$, where ζ_3 is a primitive cubic root of unity, we get

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta_3 tp}{3} & \frac{1-\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right] = \frac{\left(\frac{2+\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{2+\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}}{(1)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}\left(\frac{1-tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}}. \tag{4.12} $$

By the symmetry with respect to cube roots of unity the left side agrees with ${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}$ mod p^3 and hence with ${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{p-1}$ as terms past $k = \dfrac{tp-1}{3}$ are all divisible by p^3,

When $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$ ($t = 1$) the rising factorials on the right side of (4.12) are all units in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. When $p \equiv 5 \mod p$ ($t = 2$), $\left(\dfrac{2+\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}$ and $\left(\dfrac{2+\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)_{\frac{tp-1}{3}}$ contain, respectively, the multiples of p $\dfrac{2+\zeta_3 tp}{3} + \dfrac{p-2}{3} = \dfrac{2\zeta_3+1}{3}p$ and $\dfrac{2+\zeta_3^2 tp}{3} + \dfrac{p-2}{3} = \dfrac{2\zeta_3^2+1}{3}p$. Set $B_1 = 1$ and $B_2 = \dfrac{2\zeta_3+1}{3}p \cdot \dfrac{2\zeta_3^2+1}{3}p = \dfrac{p^2}{3}$.

We rewrite the right side of (4.12) using Γ_p-quotients to get (there are four factors of $(-1)^{\frac{tp-1}{3}}$ which cancel)

(4.13)

$$ B_t \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+tp+\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+tp+\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p(1)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p(0)} = -B_t \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-tp}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2+tp}{3}\right)} $$

$$ = B_t(-1)^{1+3a_0(2/3)}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta_3 tp}{3}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-\zeta_3^2 tp}{3}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-tp}{3}\right)^2 = (-1)^{t+1}B_t\left(\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^6 + O(p^3)\right) $$

where the first equality uses that $1+\zeta_3+\zeta_3^2 = 0$ and the second that $\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}+pb\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2}{3}-pb\right) = (-1)^{a_0(2/3)}$. The third equality uses the symmetry with respect to the cube roots of unity and that $\dfrac{2}{3} \equiv \dfrac{p+2}{3} \equiv 2\cdot\dfrac{p+1}{3} \mod p$ where $\dfrac{p+2}{3} \in \mathbb{N}$ when $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$ and $2\cdot\dfrac{p+1}{3} \in \mathbb{N}$ when $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$. Thus $(-1)^{a_0(2/3)} = (-1)^t$. □

5. Other applications

5.1. In this section, we will consider supercongruences occurring for ${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1\end{matrix};\lambda\right]_{p-1}$ at values of λ related to CM elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. A brief description of the geometric background was given in the introduction.

Lemma 27. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set*

$$A_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2j+1}, \quad B_k = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k-1} \frac{1}{(2i+1)(2j+1)}. \tag{5.1}$$

(Note A_k is defined for $k \geq 1$ and B_k is defined for $k \geq 2$). Then

$$\left(\frac{1-Mp}{2}\right)_k = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k (1 - MpA_k + (Mp)^2 B_k) \mod p^3 \text{ and } (1-Mp)_k = (1)_k(1 - MpE_k + (Mp)^2 F_k) \mod p^3.$$

There are similar expressions for E_k and F_k that we will not need so we do not write them down.

Proof. Note

$$\left(\frac{1-Mp}{2}\right)_k = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{Mp}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{Mp}{2}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{2k-1}{2} - \frac{Mp}{2}\right).$$

Multiplying this out gives an expansion of the form

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \left(1 - Mp\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{2j+1} + (Mp)^2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k-1} \frac{1}{(2i+1)(2j+1)}\right) + O((Mp)^3).$$

The big 'O' term is justified as no multiples of p occur in any of the denominators of any of the sums as all indices are at most $\frac{p-3}{2}$. The proof of the $(1-Mp)_k$ result is identical. □

Proposition 28. *Set A_k and B_k as in (5.1). Set ${}_3F_2(C) = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-Cp}{2} & \frac{1+(C-2)p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} \\ & 1-p & 1-\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix};1\right]$. Then*

$${}_3F_2(C) - {}_3F_2(D) \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{(k!)^3}\left[(2C^2 - 2D^2 - 4C + 4D)B_k + (-C^2 + D^2 + 2C - 2D)A_k^2\right] \mod p^3.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 27 for $\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. 1) with $M = C, 2-C$ and 1 (resp. $M = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}$). So

$$(5.2)\quad {}_3F_2(C) = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-Cp}{2}, \frac{1+(C-2)p}{2}, \frac{1-p}{2} \\ 1-p, 1-\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix}; 1\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1-Cp}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1-(2-C)p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1-p}{2}\right)_k}{(1)_k \, (1-p)_k \left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)_k}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3 [1 - CpA_k + C^2p^2B_k][1 + (C-2)pA_k + (C-2)^2p^2B_k][1 - pA_k + p^2B_k]}{(k!)^3[1 - pE_k + p^2F_k][1 - \frac{p}{2}E_k + \frac{p^2}{4}F_k]}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{(k!)^3} \left[1 - 3pA_k + p^2\left[(2C^2 - 4C + 5)B_k + (-C^2 + 2C + 2)A_k^2\right]\right] \left[1 - \frac{3}{2}pE_k + \frac{5}{4}p^2F_k + \frac{p^2}{2}E_k^2\right]^{-1}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{(k!)^3} \left[1 + p\left[-3A_k + \frac{3}{2}D_k\right] + p^2\left[(2C^2 - 4C + 5)B_k + (-C^2 + 2C + 2)A_k^2 - \frac{5}{4}F_k + \frac{7}{4}E_k^2 - \frac{9}{2}A_kE_k\right]\right] \mod p^3.$$

The result follows by simply performing the subtraction ${}_3F_2(C) - {}_3F_2(D)$ as most terms are independent of C and D and therefore cancel. □

Proposition 29. *Let $C, D > 0$.*

For $C \equiv D \equiv p \equiv 1 \mod 4$,

$$(5.3)\quad {}_3F_2(C) - {}_3F_2(D) \equiv \left[-\frac{p^2}{16}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\right] \cdot \left[(2C^2 - 2D^2 - 4C + 4D)G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + (-2C^2 + 2D^2 + 4C - 4D)G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\right] \mod p^3.$$

Similarly,

when $C \equiv D \equiv p \equiv 3 \mod 4$,

$${}_3F_2(C) - {}_3F_2(D) \equiv \frac{(C^2 - D^2 - 2C + 2D)}{16}p^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \mod p^4.$$

Proof. By Clausen's formula (6.2), which holds as long as both sides converge and the Gauss summation formula (6.3) which applies as $\Re\left(1 - \frac{p}{2} - \frac{1-Cp}{4} - \frac{1+(C-2)p}{4})\right) = \Re\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} > 0$,

$$(5.4)\quad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-Cp}{2} & \frac{1+(C-2)p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} \\ 1-p & 1-\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix}; 1\right] = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-Cp}{4} & \frac{1+(C-2)p}{4} \\ 1-\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix}; 1\right]^2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+(C-2)p}{4}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{3-Cp}{4}\right)^2}.$$

In both pairs $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3+(C-2)p}{4}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{3-Cp}{4}, 1-\frac{p}{2}\right)$ the elements differ by an integer. When $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, write $C = 4k+1$. The numbers between both elements of the first pair that differ from each by an integer *and* are multiples of p are $\frac{2p}{4}, \frac{6p}{4}, \ldots, \frac{4k-2}{4}p$. For the second pair the corresponding list is simply the negatives of the numbers above. As in the variant of 3) of Lemma 18, when we want to replace a quotient of Γ-functions by the same quotient of Γ_p-functions, care must taken with the signs and multiples of p. Here everything will be squared so we need not worry

about signs, and the multiples of p of concern end up canceling in the numerator and denominator so we may simply replace all Γ-functions by Γ_p. Thus

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1-Cp}{2} & \frac{1+(C-2)p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} \\ & 1-p & 1-\frac{p}{2} \end{array};1\right] = \frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+(C-2)p}{4}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{3-Cp}{4}\right)^2} = \frac{\Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3+(C-2)p}{4}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3-Cp}{4}\right)^2} $$

which by arguments which are now standard in this paper becomes

$$ \Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+(2-C)p}{4}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+Cp}{4}\right)^2 \equiv \left[-\Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\right] \tag{5.5} $$

$$ \cdot\left[1+G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{2-C}{4}p\right)+\frac{G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{2-C}{4}p\right)^2\right]^2\left[1+G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{C}{4}p\right)\frac{G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{C}{4}p\right)^2\right]^2 \mod p^3. $$

This simplifies to

$$ -\Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\left[1+pG_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{p^2}{16}\left[(2C^2-4C+4)G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+(-2C^2+4C+4)G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\right]\right] $$

so

$$ {}_3F_2(C)-{}_3F_2(D) \equiv \left(-\Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\frac{p^2}{16}\right) \tag{5.6} $$

$$ \cdot\left[(2C^2-2D^2-4C+4D)G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+(-2C^2+2D^2+4C-4D)G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\right] \mod p^3. $$

By a simple application of Theorem 15 we see $\Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)\equiv\Gamma_p(1)\equiv -1 \mod p$. The result follows for $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$.

When $p\equiv 3 \mod 4$, write $C=4k+3$, then within $\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3+(C-2)p}{4}\right)$ the multiples of p are $\frac{2p}{4},\cdots,\frac{4k-2}{4}p$. The multiples of p within $\left(\frac{3-Cp}{4},1-\frac{p}{2}\right)$ include (up to sign) those just listed and one more, $-\frac{(4k+2)p}{4}=-\frac{(C-1)p}{4}$. Thus

$$ {}_3F_2(C)=\frac{(C-1)^2p^2}{4^2}\frac{\Gamma_p\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3+(C-2)p}{4}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3-Cp}{4}\right)^2}\equiv\frac{(C-1)^2p^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4}{4^2} \mod p^3. $$

It follows that ${}_3F_2(C)-{}_3F_2(D)=\frac{(C-D)(C+D-2)p^2}{16}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \mod p^3$.

□

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. We first handle the $p\equiv 3 \mod 4$ case. Using the first equation on [4, pp 142] which holds when n is a positive integer so both sides converge

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -n & a & b \\ & d & e \end{array};1\right]=\frac{(e-a)_n}{(e)_n}{}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -n & a & d-b \\ d & a+1-n-e & \end{array};1\right] \tag{5.7} $$

we know when $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$,

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right] = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\, {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]. \tag{5.8}$$

Thus ${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right] = 0$ in this case.

Note that

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right] + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3}{k!^3}[A_k^2 - 2B_k] \mod p^3.$$

By Propositions 28 and 29

$$ {}_3F_2(3) - {}_3F_2(7) \equiv 32p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3}{k!^3}[A_k^2 - 2B_k] \mod p^3$$

and

$$ {}_3F_2(3) - {}_3F_2(7) \equiv -2p^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \mod p^3.$$

Thus

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3}{k!^3}[A_k^2 - 2B_k] \equiv -\frac{p^2}{16}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \mod p^3.$$

This settles Theorem 3 for $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

Now we assume $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$. Observe that by definition ${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!}\right)^3$.

Let $C = 5$ and $D = 9$ in Propositions 28 and 29. Taking care with signs, the differences are

$$ 48p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k}{k!}\right)^3 (2B_k - A_k^2) \equiv -p^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \left[6G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 - 6G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] \mod p^3. \tag{5.9}$$

We will compute ${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]$ in two different ways. Since

$$\left(\frac{1-p}{2}+r\right)\left(\frac{1+p}{2}+r\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+r\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}+r\right)^3 - \frac{p^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}+r\right)$$

we have, employing the simple identity $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^2} = A_k^2 - 2B_k$,

$$\left(\frac{1-p}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1+p}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3\left(1 - p^2\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{(2j+1)^2}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3 - p^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3(A_k^2 - 2B_k) \mod p^3.$$

Using (5.9) to obtain the second congruence below, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.10)\quad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right] &\equiv {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{p-1}{2}} - p^2\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!}\right)^3(A_k^2-2B_k)\\
&\equiv {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]_{\frac{p-1}{2}} - p^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\left[\frac{G_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2-G_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{8}\right] \mod p^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

As usual, we introduce p-adic Gamma functions. Using Clausen's formula, Gauss' summation formula, that $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$ and noting the elements of the pair $\left(\frac{3-p}{4},\frac{1}{2}\right)$ differ by an integer, as do the elements of $\left(1,\frac{3+p}{4}\right)$. In neither pair are there any multiples of p between the numbers and an integral distance from both endpoints, so we can use 3) of Lemma 18 directly to see

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};1\right] &= {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{4} & \frac{1+p}{4}\\ 1 & \end{matrix};1\right]^2 = \left[\frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-p}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3+p}{4}\right)}\right]^2\\
&= \left[\frac{\Gamma_p(1)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3-p}{4}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3+p}{4}\right)}\right]^2 = -\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p}{4}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1-p}{4}\right)^2\\
&\equiv -\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\left[1+\frac{G_2(\frac{1}{4})-G_1(\frac{1}{4})^2}{8}p^2\right]\\
&\equiv -\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 - p^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4\left[\frac{G_2(\frac{1}{4})-G_1(\frac{1}{4})^2}{8}\right] \mod p^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

The fourth equality and first congruence involve what are for us standard arguments with Γ_p-functions. Comparing with (5.10), the $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$ case follows and Theorem 3 is proved. □

We prove one more supercongruence. We will restrict ourselves to the ordinary primes.

Theorem 30. *When $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$ is a prime, then*

$$
{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 1 & 1 & \end{matrix};\frac{-1}{8}\right]_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \mod p^3.
$$

Proof. Here, we will outline the proof and will skip some details if the analysis is similar to what we have used above.

We will need the following formula which is case 1 of (6.11). When $\frac{3a-1}{2}$ is not a negative integer,

$$
(5.11)\qquad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}3a-1 & a & 1-a\\ 2a & a+\frac{1}{2} & \end{matrix};-\frac{1}{8}\right] = 2^{3a-3}\left(\frac{\Gamma(a+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3a}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\right).
$$

When $a=\frac{1+p}{2}$, a positive integer, the above formula applies. In this case $\dfrac{\Gamma(a+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3a}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} = \dfrac{(\frac{1}{2})_a}{(\frac{a}{2})_a}$ is a quotient of rising factorial and $(\frac{1}{2})_a$ (resp. $(\frac{a}{2})_a$) contains only one multiple of p, which is $\frac{p}{2}$

(resp. $\frac{p}{2}$). Thus

$$(5.12)\quad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1+3p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2}\\ 1+p & 1+\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix};\frac{-1}{8}\right]=2^{\frac{3p-3}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(a+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3a}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\right)^2=2^{\frac{3p-3}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma_p(a+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma_p(\frac{a}{2})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3a}{2})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})}\right)^2$$
$$=2^{\frac{3p-3}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma_p(1+\frac{p}{2})\Gamma_p(\frac{1+p}{4})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3+3p}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})}\right)^2.$$

Expanding the above using local analytic property of $\Gamma_p(\cdot)$ and (3.5), we have

$$(5.13)\qquad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1+3p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2}\\ 1+p & 1+\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix};\frac{-1}{8}\right]\equiv(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2}\left[1+Xp+Yp^2\right]\mod p^3.$$

where

$$X=\frac{3G_1(0)-3G_1(\frac{1}{4})}{2}\quad\text{and}\quad Y=\frac{9G_1(0)^2-18G_1(0)G_1(\frac{1}{4})+5G_2(\frac{1}{4})+4G_1(\frac{1}{4})^2}{8}.$$

Letting $a=\dfrac{1-p/3}{2}$ in (5.11),

$$(5.14)\qquad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p/3}{2} & \frac{1-p/3}{2}\\ 1-\frac{p}{3} & 1-\frac{p}{6}\end{matrix};\frac{-1}{8}\right]=2^{\frac{-3-p}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{p}{6})\Gamma(\frac{1-p/3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{3-p}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\right)^2.$$

When $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$, one of $1-\frac{p}{6}$ and $\frac{1-p/3}{4}$ is in $\frac{1}{6}+\mathbb{Z}$ and the other one is in $\frac{5}{6}+\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma(\frac{5}{6})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{p}{6})\Gamma(\frac{1-p/3}{4})}$ is a product of 2 rising factorials and $\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3-p}{4})}$ is itself a rising factorial. None of them contains multiples of p. Consequently,

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{p}{6})\Gamma(\frac{1-p/3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{3-p}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\right)^2=\left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{p}{6})\Gamma(\frac{1-p/3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma(\frac{5}{6})}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3-p}{4})}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma(\frac{5}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})^2}\right)^2=4\left(\frac{\Gamma_p(1-\frac{p}{6})\Gamma_p(\frac{1-p/3}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6})\Gamma_p(\frac{3-p}{4})}\right)^2$$

as $\left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma(\frac{5}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})^2}\right)^2=4$. So,

$$(5.15)\qquad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p/3}{2} & \frac{1-p/3}{2}\\ 1-\frac{p}{3} & 1-\frac{p}{6}\end{matrix};\frac{-1}{8}\right]=2^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma_p(1-\frac{p}{6})\Gamma_p(\frac{1-p/3}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6})\Gamma_p(\frac{3-p}{4})}\right)^2.$$

Together using (3.5), we have

$$(5.16)\qquad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p/3}{2} & \frac{1-p/3}{2}\\ 1-\frac{p}{3} & 1-\frac{p}{6}\end{matrix};\frac{-1}{8}\right]\equiv(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2}\left[1-\frac{X}{3}p+\frac{Y}{9}p^2\right]\mod p^3$$

where X and Y as before.

When $a=\frac{1-p}{2}$, by case 2 of (6.11) and its proof,

$$(5.17)\quad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-3p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2}\\ 1-p & 1-\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix};-\frac{1}{8}\right]=2^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}}\left(\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma_p(1-p)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+3p}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p}{4}\right)\right)^2$$

Applying (3.5) to $2^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}}$ and the analytic expansion to $\Gamma_p(\cdot)$, we have

$$(5.18)\qquad {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1-3p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2}\\ 1-p & 1-\frac{p}{2}\end{matrix};-\frac{1}{8}\right]\equiv(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}\frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2}\left[1-Xp+Yp^2\right]\mod p^3$$

Now we rewrite the right sides of (5.13), (5.16), and (5.18) in terms harmonic sums. So modulo p^3 we get a linear system of 3 equations of the form

$$A_0 + iA_1p + i^2A_2p^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}} \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2} \left[1 - \frac{i}{3}Xp + \frac{i^2}{9}Yp^2\right] \mod p^3$$

with $i = 3, -3, 1$ and $A_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{8}\right)^k$ and A_1, A_2 involving harmonic sums like (5.1). When $p \geq 5$ the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & -3 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $A_0 \equiv -(-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4$ mod p^3. □

6. Appendix: Hypergeometric formulae

6.1. **Pfaff transformation formula.** [4, (2.3.14), pp. 79]

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; x\right] = \frac{(c-b)_n}{(c)_n} \, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n & b \\ & b+1-n-c \end{matrix}; 1-x\right]. \tag{6.1}$$

This equation is derived by finding solutions to a differential equation with three singularities. For general n, b and c (6.1) holds for $x \in \mathbb{C}\backslash[1, \infty)$. Here, as $n \in \mathbb{N}$, both sides are polynomials and equality on $\mathbb{C}\backslash[1, \infty)$ implies equality on all of $\mathbb{C}$, and in particular at $x = 2$, the value we will need. In [4] the proof of (2.3.14) involves an argument where c is assumed *not* in $\mathbb{Z}$ unless otherwise stated. This pertains only to the number of independent *analytic* solutions to the differential equation at hand and does not intervene here.

6.2. **Clausen formula.** The following formula holds as formal power series and hence holds when both hand sides converge. See [27, §2.5] and Page 116 of [4].

$$\left({}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x\right]\right)^2 = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} 2a & 2b & a+b \\ & 2a+2b & a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x\right]. \tag{6.2}$$

6.3. **Some evaluation formulae with argument $z = 1$.**

- Gauss summation formula. Thereof 2.2.2 of [4] For $\Re(c-a-b) > 0$,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; 1\right] = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \tag{6.3}$$

- Chu-Vandermonde Theorem (Corollary 2.2.3 of [4]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n & a \\ & c \end{matrix}; 1\right] = \frac{(c-a)_n}{(c)_n}. \tag{6.4}$$

- Pfaff-Saalschütz Theorem (Theorem 2.2.6 of [4]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} -n & a & b \\ c & 1+a+b-c-n \end{matrix}; 1\right] = \frac{(c-a)_n(c-b)_n}{(c)_n(c-a-b)_n}. \tag{6.5}$$

- Dixon formula ((2.2.11) of [4]). For $\Re\left(\frac{a}{2}+b+c+1\right) > 0$,

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} a & -b & -c \\ a+b+1 & a+c+1 \end{matrix}; 1\right] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{a}{2}+1)\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(a+c+1)\Gamma(\frac{a}{2}+b+c+1)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(\frac{a}{2}+b+1)\Gamma(\frac{a}{2}+c+1)\Gamma(a+b+c+1)}. \tag{6.6}$$

- The next formula holds when n is a positive integer and both sides converge

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} -n & a & b \\ d & e & \end{matrix};1\right] = \frac{(e-a)_n}{(e)_n}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} -n & a & d-b \\ d & a+1-n-e & \end{matrix};1\right] \tag{6.7}$$

For a more detailed argument: (6.7) is derived from Theorem 3.3.3 of [4] which in turn is derived from Euler's transformation formula (Theorem 2.2.5 of [4]) which follows from Euler's Integral formula (Theorem 2.2.1 of [4]) which holds on an open set. Both sides of (6.7) are rational functions of a, b, d, e and as they agree on some open subset of $\mathbb{R}^4$ they agree everywhere.

- Whipple's well-posed ${}_7F_6$ evaluation formula, Theorem 3.4.5 of [4]

$$ {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix} a & 1+\frac{a}{2} & b & c & d & e & f \\ \frac{1}{2}a & 1+a-b & 1+a-c & 1+a-d & 1+a-e & 1+a-f & \end{matrix};1\right] = \tag{6.8}$$
$$ \frac{\Gamma(1+a-d)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)\Gamma(1+a-d-e-f)}{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+a-e-f)\Gamma(1+a-d-e)\Gamma(1+a-d-f)} \times {}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix} 1+a-b-c, d, e, f \\ d+e+f-a, 1+a-b, 1+a-c \end{matrix};1\right]$$

This holds when the left side converges and the right side terminates.

- Dougall's formula (c.f. Theorem 3.5.1 of [4] or (8.2) of [31]) which asserts that for f a negative integer and $1+2a = b+c+d+e+f$ then

$$ {}_7F_6\left[\begin{matrix} a & 1+\frac{a}{2} & b & c & d & e & f \\ \frac{a}{2} & 1+a-b & 1+a-c & 1+a-d & 1+a-e & 1+a-f & \end{matrix};1\right] = \tag{6.9}$$
$$ \frac{(a+1)_{-f}(a-b-c+1)_{-f}(a-b-d+1)_{-f}(a-c-d+1)_{-f}}{(a-b+1)_{-f}(a-c+1)_{-f}(a-d+1)_{-f}(a-b-c-d+1)_{-f}}$$

6.4. **Some evaluation formulae with argument $z \neq 1$.**

- Kummer's formula. Corollary 3.1.2 of [4] or (2.3.2.9) of [27]

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ a-b+1 & \end{matrix};-1\right] = \frac{\Gamma(a-b+1)\Gamma(\frac{a}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(\frac{a}{2}-b+1)}. \tag{6.10}$$

This holds when $\Re(b) < 1$ and both sides converge. In particular, it holds when b is a negative integer and the left side converges. In this case, the right side can be written as $\frac{(a+1)_{-b}}{(1+\frac{a}{2})_{-b}}$.

- Let $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ be a prime.

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} 3a-1 & a & 1-a \\ 2a & a+\frac{1}{2} & \end{matrix};-\frac{1}{8}\right] = \begin{cases} 2^{3a}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)^2 & \text{if } \frac{3a-1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \\ -2^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}}\left(\Gamma_p(1-p)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p}{4}\right)\right)^2 & \text{if } a = \frac{1-p}{2} \end{cases}. \tag{6.11}$$

The first case is entry 1 in Table 1 of [15] by Karlsson. To see why there are two cases, we first recall another formula of Pfaff

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix};z\right] = \begin{cases} (1-z)^{-b}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & c-b \\ & c \end{matrix};\frac{z}{z-1}\right] & \text{if } \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \text{ and } \beta < \Re(a) \\ (1-z)^{-a}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & c-b \\ & c \end{matrix};\frac{z}{z-1}\right] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

For the first case, see [4, pp 79], which can be verified using our argument below. The second case can be deduced from the argument in [4, pp 76]. In articular, we note that in this case, both hand sides are polynomials in z. We also need a quadratic formula (which can be derived from the quadratic formula on pp 130, right above (3.1.16) [4] and the above Pfaff formula (6.12))

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{a}{2} & \beta-\frac{a}{2} \\ & b+\frac{1}{2} \end{array}; \frac{z^2}{4(z-1)}\right] = \begin{cases} (1-z)^{\frac{b}{2}}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ & 2\beta \end{array}; z\right] & \text{if } b\in\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \text{ and } b<\Re(a) \\ (1-z)^{\frac{a}{2}}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ & 2\beta \end{array}; z\right] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6.13}$$

Another ingredient we need is how to deduce Kummer's formula (6.10) from Gauss summation (6.3). This formula appears on page 126 of [4] which says

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 1+a-b \end{array}; -1\right] = 2^{-a}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{a}{2} & \frac{a+1}{2}-b \\ & 1+a-b \end{array}; 1\right]. \tag{6.14}$$

Now we will show case 2 of (6.11). When $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $a=\frac{1-p}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_3F_2\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1-3p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} & \frac{1+p}{2} \\ 1-p & 1-\frac{p}{2} & \end{array}; -\frac{1}{8}\right] &\overset{(6.2)}{=} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1-3p}{4} & \frac{1+p}{4} \\ & 1-\frac{p}{2} \end{array}; -\frac{1}{8}\right]^2 \\ &\overset{(6.13)}{=} \left(\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} \\ & 1-p \end{array}; \frac{1}{2}\right]\right)^2 \\ &\overset{(6.12)}{=} \left(\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} (1+1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} \\ & 1-p \end{array}; -1\right]\right)^2 \\ &= \left(2^{\frac{(p-1)}{4}} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1+p}{2} & \frac{1-p}{2} \\ & 1-p \end{array}; -1\right]\right)^2 \\ &\overset{(6.14)}{=} \left(2^{\frac{(p-1)}{4}} 2^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1-p}{4} & \frac{1-3p}{4} \\ & 1-p \end{array}; 1\right]\right)^2 \\ &\overset{(6.4)}{=} 2^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{3-p}{4}\right)_{\frac{p-1}{4}}}{(1-p)_{\frac{p-1}{4}}}\right)^2 \\ &\overset{(\text{Lemma } 18)}{=} 2^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma_p(1-p)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3-p}{4}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3-3p}{4}\right)}\right)^2 \\ &= -2^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} \left(\Gamma_p(1-p)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+3p}{4}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1+p}{4}\right)\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] S. Ahlgren, *Gaussian hypergeometric series and combinatorial congruences*, Symbolic computation, numbertheory, special functions, physics and combinatorics, Dev. Math 4, Kluwer, Dodrecht 2001.

[2] S. Ahlgren, and K. Ono, *A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apéry number congruences*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 518 (2000), 187–212.

[3] S. Ahlgren, K. Ono, and D. Penniston, *Zeta functions of an infinite family of K3 surfaces*. Amer. J. Math. 124 (2002), no. 2, 353–368.

[4] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
[5] V.V Batyrev and D. van Straten, Duco *Generalized hypergeometric functions and rational curves on Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties*. Comm. Math. Phys. 168 (1995), no. 3, 493–533
[6] F. Beukers, *Some congruences for the Apry numbers.* J. Number Theory 21 (1985), no. 2, 141155.
[7] F. Beukers, *Another congruence for the Apéry numbers.* J. Number Theory 25 (1987), no. 2, 201–210.
[8] H.-H. Chan, S. Cooper, Shaun, and F. Sica, Francesco, *Congruences satisfied by Apéry-like numbers*. Int. J. Number Theory 6 (2010), no. 1, 89–97.
[9] H.-H. Chan, A. Kontogeorgis, C. Krattenthaler, and R. Osburn, *Supercongruences satisfied by coefficients of 2F1 hypergeometric series*. Ann. Sci. Math. Qubec 34 (2010), no. 1, 2536.
[10] H. Cohen, *Number Theory*. Vol. II. Analytic and modern tools. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 240. Springer, New York, 2007. xxiv+596 pp.
[11] M. Coster, *Generalisation of a congruence of Gauss*. J. Number Theory 29 (1988), no. 3, 300–310.
[12] M. Coster and L. van Hamme, *Supercongruences of Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer type for Legendre polynomials*. J. Number Theory 38 (1991), no. 3, 265–286.
[13] B.M. Dwork, *p-adic Cycles, Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, **tome 37**, (1969), 27–115.
[14] B.M. Dwork, *Lectures on p-adic differential equations*. With an appendix by Alan Adolphson. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science], 253. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. viii+310 pp.
[15] P.W. Karlsson, *Clausen's hypergeometric function with variable -1/8 or -8*. Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line 4 (2000), no. 7, 25–33.
[16] J. Kibelbek, L. Long, K. Moss, B. Sheller, and H. Yuan. *Supercongruences and complex multiplication.* J. Number Theory, to appear, arXiv 1210:4489.
[17] T. Kilbourn, *An extension of the Apéry number supercongruence*. Acta Arith. 123 (2006), no. 4, 335–348.
[18] L. Long, *Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences*. Pacific J. Math. 249 (2011), no. 2, 405–18
[19] Morita, Y. A p-adic analogue of the Γ-function. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 22 (1975), no. 2, 255–266.
[20] D. McCarthy, and R. Osburn, *A p-adic analogue of a formula of Ramanujan*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 91 (2008), no. 6, 492–504.
[21] E. Mortenson, *Supercongruences for truncated ${}_{n+1}F_n$ hypergeometric series with applications to certain weight three newforms*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 2, 321–330
[22] R. Osburn, B. Sahu, and A. Straub *Supercongruences for sporadic sequences*, arXiv:1312.2195, (2013)
[23] F. Rodriguez-Villegas, *Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds. Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry* (Toronto, ON, 2001), 223–231, Fields Inst. Commun., 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
[24] A. Robert and M. Zuber, *The Kazandzidis supercongruences. A simple proof and an application.* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 94 (1995), 235–243.
[25] A. Selberg, and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's zeta-function*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 227 1967 86–110.
[26] J. Stienstra and F. Beukers, *On the Picard-Fuchs Equation and the Formal Brauer Group of Certain Elliptic K3-Surfaces. Mathematische Annalen*, **271, no.2**, (1985), 269-304.
[27] L.J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1966 xiii+273 pp
[28] Z.-W. Sun, *On congruences related to central binomial coefficients*, J. Number Theory 131 (2011) 2219–2238.
[29] Z.-W. Sun, Super congruences and Euler numbers, Sci. China Math. 54 (2011) 2509–2535.
[30] L. van Hamme, *Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series*. p-adic functional analysis (Nijmegen, 1996), 223–236, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 192, Dekker, New York, 1997.
[31] F.J.W. Whipple, *On well-posed series, generalised hypergeometric series having parameters in pairs, each pair with the same sum*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **24** (1926), no. 2, 247–263.
[32] W. Zudilin, *Ramanujan-type supercongruences.* J. Number Theory 129 (2009), no. 8, 1848–1857.

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA & Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA
E-mail address: llong@lsu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
E-mail address: ravi@math.cornell.edu